



PM R 7 (2015) S26-S32

www.pmrjournal.org

**Regenerative Medicine** 

### Stem Cell Therapies for Treatment of Cartilage and Bone Disorders: Osteoarthritis, Avascular Necrosis, and Non-union Fractures

Steven Sampson, DO, Angie Botto-van Bemden, PhD, Danielle Aufiero, MD

#### Abstract

The general ease of availability and strong fundamental science of autologous mesenchymal stem cells has prompted increasing application of such biologic therapies to address inherent orthopedic challenges of limited vascularity and ability to self-repair. This article provides a concise review of emerging mesenchymal stem cell applications for bone-related pathologies including cartilage, avascular necrosis, and fractures.

#### Introduction

Orthobiologics is a thriving area of research and development, aimed specifically at preventing further degeneration and disease by restoring native biology, structure, and function. Cell-based therapy is a form of regenerative medicine that introduces new cells to repair damaged tissue. Because of their general ease of availability and strong fundamental science, autologous mesenchymal stem cells are the basis of increasingly applied biologic therapies to address the inherent orthopedic challenges of limited vascularity and ability to self-repair. Recent research suggests the increasing importance of subchondral bone integrity in various orthopedic conditions including osteoarthritis. Bone marrow lesions seen on T2 MRI sequences in osteoarthritic patients demonstrate histology similar to nonunion fractures with necrosis and high osteoclast activity, and are becoming an important biomarker in disease progression [1]. This article provides a concise review of emerging mesenchymal stem cell applications for bone-related pathologies including cartilage, avascular necrosis (AVN), and fractures.

#### **Cartilage Degeneration**

Articular cartilage has a limited intrinsic capacity to regenerate spontaneously after injury, often leading to pain and disability. It is generally believed that cartilage lesions progress to osteoarthritis (OA), prompting intervention for symptomatic lesions to possibly prevent the evolution to OA as well as to provide symptom relief. Conventional treatment modalities may be useful for relief of symptoms in the short term; however, they do not restore the natural articular cartilage integrity or prevent the final pathway to OA [2].

The avascular, aneural, and alymphatic nature of articular cartilage hinders repair and regeneration potential once injured. Articular cartilage lesions may be focal defects resulting from direct trauma, AVN, or osteochondritis dessicans. These lesions are described as chondral (limited to the cartilage surface) or osteochondral (extending beyond the calcified cartilage layer into the subchondral bone). Chondral lesions have a poor intrinsic ability to repair themselves, as they lack blood vessels that are critical for circulation and delivery of progenitor cells as a part of the normal healing processes. Instead of progenitor cells filling chondral defects, cells from the synovial membrane migrate to the articular cartilage defect and fail to integrate completely, leading to continued degeneration. In contrast, osteochondral lesions have access to the bone marrow, which provides a supply of mesenchymal stem cells that can create the repair tissue. This tissue, however, resembles fibrocartilage, which does not integrate well with the adjacent matrix and does not withstand mechanical stress, resulting in eventual degeneration over time.

Articular cartilage lesions may also be more generalized, or diffuse and lacking lesion margins as in degenerative joint disease or OA. Once early OA begins, the repair capacity of articular cartilage is further compromised by a cascade of catabolic events including inflammation, recruitment of cells that release proinflammatory factors, and proteinase activation that leads to degeneration and cell senescence with apoptosis [3]. Disease progression is believed to result from an imbalance between pro-inflammatory cytokines (including interleukin [IL]–1a, IL-1, and tumor necrosis factor– $\alpha$ ) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (including IL-4, IL-10, and IL-1ra) [3]. This cytokine imbalance is thought to promote proteolytic enzymes, which lead to cartilage deterioration [4,5].

In addition, the subchondral trabecular bone is thought to play an important role in OA, as subchondral bone changes are potentially both a result and a cause of cartilage loss [1].

# Mesenchymal Stem Cells—Medicinal Signaling Cells

Mesenchymal stem cells are a promising therapeutic for cartilage regeneration. The exact mechanism of action of mesenchymal stem cells is not completely understood, but various means have been proposed. Through paracrine activity, mesenchymal stem cells exhibit a secretory or "trophic" function, with anti-inflammatory, pro-angiogenic, immunomodulatory, anti-apoptotic, anti-fibrotic, and wound-healing properties that have proliferative effects [2,6]. Mesenchymal stem cells have been shown to elicit differentiation of resident and nonresident cells to functional tissue, catalyzing restoration of degenerative tissue [7,8]. It has been suggested that perivascular cells, or pericytes, adhere to blood vessels and act as 1 of our body's largest reservoirs for mesenchymal stem cells. After trauma, soluble factors within the perivascular space cause the release of pericytes from microvessels. Pericytes have been described as "medicinal signaling cells" once released, where they can be activated into mesenchymal stem cells, exhibiting their homing, trophic and immunomodulatory roles [9].

Mesenchymal stem cells may be harvested from various tissues including adipose, bone marrow, synovium, and umbilical cord, as well as from peripheral blood. Thus far, the most frequently used source to treat cartilage lesions is derived from bone marrow.

## Bone Marrow–Derived MSCs and Bone Marrow Concentrate

Bone marrow concentrate contains bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells, hematopoetic stem cells, platelets (containing growth factors), and cytokines. Bone marrow cells consist of erythroblasts, neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, monoid cells (monocytes containing mesenchymal stem cells and macrophages), lymphocytes, and plasma cells. These cells are present in various stages of differentiation [10]. The hematopoietic progenitor cells can morph into mesenchymal stem cells, differentiate into chondrocytes, and are more osteoinductive than adipose-derived cells [11]. Current research on undifferentiated colonization, functional bioactive components, and mechanisms of action for bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells has yielded promising basic science results [6,11,12].

The anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells are essential in mediating tissue repair. The paracrine behavior of secreted bioactive growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines is responsible for the many functions of the mesenchymal stem cell immune response and healing potential [13]. Hematopoiesis is supported by bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells through production of stem cell factor (SCF), interleukin (IL)–6, lymphocyte inhibitory factor (LIF), granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), or macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) [14,15]. In addition, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells have been shown to exhibit homing to areas of inflammation through stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) and the subsequent up-regulation of chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) receptors on the cell surface [16,17].

After bone marrow aspiration, bone marrow concentrate is easily prepared using centrifugation and is available for a same-day injection procedure with minimal manipulation of cells, thus complying with U.S. Food and Drug Association (FDA) restrictions. Bone marrow concentrate is generated through densitygradient centrifugation of bone marrow aspirate. Bone marrow concentrate contains bone-marrow—derived mesenchymal stem cells, also known as bone marrow stromal cells, which have demonstrated benefit in facilitating the regeneration of cartilage.

A case study [4] demonstrated the regenerative potential of cultured bone marrow stromal cell therapy examining the use of mesenchymal cells in patients with meniscus and cartilage repair. Twenty-four weeks after percutaneous injection into the affected knee joint, the study reported significant increases in cartilage and meniscus volume, as seen on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with the patient attaining increased range of motion and decreased pain scores. Pilot studies have demonstrated improved patient pain scores and functional status after cartilage defect treatment with cultured bone marrow stromal cells [18]. An institutional review boardapproved registry (Regenexx, IORG0002115) started in 2005 is currently collecting outcome and adverse effects data from more than 2500 patients who have received bone marrow concentrate injection treatment for various orthopedic conditions. Preliminary and yet unpublished data collected from 539 cases of bone marrow concentrate application in the knee have demonstrated positive results. Of the patients who have returned for follow-up to date, 145 patients at 1 month, 98 patients at 1 year, 30 patients at 2 years, and 11 patients at 3 years, improvement in symptoms was demonstrated in 40%, 52%, 60%, and 68%, respectively [19].

In 2012, Emadedin et al [20], in a case series of 6 patients with severe osteoarthritis of the knee treated with cultured bone marrow stromal cells, demonstrated increased cartilage thickness and decreased edematous subchondral patches in 3 of the 6 patients, using MRI. Initial improvement in pain and function were reported; however, 6 months postinjection of cultured bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, all patients presented with recurring pain and decreased walking abilities [20]. Notably, the patients were all women volunteers (average age, 54.56 years), with 4 of 6 (67%) having a body mass index above 30 and were in need of joint arthroplasty.

The authors of this review reported our preliminary and yet unpublished clinical outcomes using bone marrow concentrate in 125 patients receiving hip, single knee, bilateral knees, shoulder, ankle, or cervical zygapophyseal joint bone marrow concentrate injections [21]. In all, 87 patients had both pre- and postinjection pain scores available for review, which demonstrated a 71% reduction in overall pain at a median follow up of 148 days, which was statistically significant. When comparing data from 87 patients with pre-post pain (complete) versus 38 patients with pre or post missing (incomplete) data, there was no evidence of selection bias, as both groups had similar characteristics (eg, age, body mass index, follow-up time, satisfaction). Comparing statistically significant results from all treated anatomic regions revealed that the single knee and bilateral knee injections had the largest improvement in pain score compared to the other joints treated. Furthermore, 92% of patients reported satisfaction with the procedure, and 95% of patients indicated that they would recommend the procedure to a friend. Contrary to prior reports in the literature of an inverse relationship, age had no correlation with outcomes in this cohort of patients up to 79 years of age reporting positive results. Bone marrow concentrate therapy has also been used as an adjunct therapy postoperatively to accelerate healing after procedures such as arthroscopic debridement, meniscal transplantation, and subchondroplasty [22]. There is some early evidence that this may improve the surgical results, but further studies are needed in this area.

#### **Avascular Necrosis**

AVN is a devastating disease characterized by the bone death caused by an interruption of the blood

supply [23,24]. MRI studies have indicated that the conversion of red to fatty marrow occurs prematurely in some patients with AVN at the upper end of the femur. Consequently, intramedullary vascularity is altered; this may be a risk factor for osteonecrosis, because changes in the bone marrow and bone remodeling are linked. Another consequence is the lack of osteogenic cells and osteocyte death [25]. AVN presents often in young patients [26] and, without timely intervention, progresses to bone collapse and osteoarthritis, often resulting in unmanageable pain and disability [27]. Thus, early intervention is paramount to accomplish joint preservation [28]. Early AVN treatments remain controversial, as standard indications have not yet been established [27]. Because increased cell death and altered vascularity are common features of AVN [28], it is believed that blood vessel regeneration and collateral circulation are the most effective ways to break the pathological cycle of AVN [29].

Autologous bone marrow transplantation was proposed for the treatment of osteonecrosis in 1990 [25]. Researchers have applied intravascular infusion of mesenchymal stem cells to treat a variety of diseases, including AVN [30-38]. Intravascular infusion of mesenchymal stem cells is reported to have the advantage of minimal invasiveness and convenient operation, with fewer complications than core decompression [30-33]. Bone marrow has the hematologic component and the stromal system containing the mesenchymal stem cells [38]. The bone marrow is typically injected into the femoral head using a small trephine (Mazabraud, Collin, France). The instrument is introduced through the greater trochanter, as in conventional core decompression. Its position in the femoral head and in the necrotic segment is monitored with fluoroscopy. Hendrich et al reported retrospective data on the clinical and radiological progress of 101 patients with various bone healing deficiencies [39]. The study included 37 necroses of the head of the femur, 32 avascular necroses/bone marrow edema of other localization, 12 non-unions, and 20 other defects. The application of bone marrow concentrate was performed in the presence of osteonecrosis via a local injection as part of a core decompression (n = 72) or by the local adsorption of intraoperative cellular bone substitution material (scaffold) incubated with bone marrow concentrate during osteosynthesis (n = 17) or in further surgery (n = 12). Positive clinical and radiographic effects without complications were reported at 14-month follow-up [39]. Autologous bone marrow concentrate treatment has been studied for corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus. At a minimum follow-up of 3 years, significant improvements in pain and Harris Hip Score were observed, suggesting therapeutic osteogenesis for corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus [40].

Kumar Sen et al reported on 51 osteonecrotic hips in 40 patients who were randomly divided into 2 treatment groups [41]. Patients in group A (25 hips) were treated with core decompression, and those in group B (26 hips) received autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell instillation into the core tract after core decompression. The clinical score and mean hip survival were significantly better in group B than in group A. Adverse prognostic features at initial presentation, including poor Harris Hip Score, radiographic changes, edema, and/or effusion on MRI had significantly better clinical outcome and hip survival in group B than in group A [41].

Targeted intra-arterial delivery has been used as a strategy for intravascular implantation of stem cells [42]. In 2013, Mao et al reported their 5-year results using the medial circumflex femoral artery to deliver concentrated autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells from bone marrow harvested from the anterior iliac crest to treat AVN of the femoral head. In all, 72 of 78 hips (92%) achieved a satisfactory clinical result, whereas only 6 hips (8%) progressed to clinical failure and required total hip arthroplasty. The mean Harris Hip Score increased from 59 points at baseline to 74 points at 60 months. Five years after the treatment, 3 of 10 hips (30%) in Ficat stage III had deteriorated to clinical failure, whereas only 3 of 68 hips (4%) in a combination of Ficat stages I and II had progressed to clinical failure (P < .05). Kaplan-Meier survival analvsis showed a significant difference in the time to failure between the precollapse hips (Ficat stages I and II) and the postcollapse hips (Ficat stage III) at 5year follow-up (log-rank test; P < .01). No complication was found in any patients. They concluded that targeted intra-arterial delivery of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells via medial circumflex femoral artery is a safe, effective, and minimally invasive treatment strategy for early-stage osteonecrosis of the femoral head. It is capable of relieving symptoms, improving hip function, and delaying progression of the disease. The clinical outcome appears to be better when it is applied before the collapse, with early intervention.

#### Fractures

Electron microscopy has shown that bone marrow cells are responsible for the manufacturing of the bony callus. Consolidation of a fracture is often delayed in heavy smokers and drinkers. There is a significant adipose involution of the bone marrow in these patients and therefore a potential decrease in progenitor cell number. Challenges in consolidation of a fracture may be connected to an overall deterioration in the numbers of progenitor cells in the bone marrow from underlying physiologic obstacles [24].

Bone marrow aspirate contains osteoprogenitor cells that have osteogenic and osteoinductive properties [22]. Bone marrow aspirate from the iliac crest has been applied to nonunion sites with limited morbidity; however, the number of stem cells available from bone marrow aspirate is limited. A trocar identical to that used to aspirate the marrow is generally placed intraosseously, either at the site of the pseudarthrosis or in the ends of the fracture adjacent to it. Typically, weight bearing is not allowed during the first month after bone marrow transplantation, to avoid mechanical instability interfering with the progression of tissue regeneration and healing. After 1 month, after callus is observed on radiographs, partial weight bearing is allowed with plaster or external fixation. A period of 1 month is observed between partial weight bearing and full weight bearing, with radiographic monitoring for cortical bridging or removal of fracture lines, after which the casting or fixation is removed [24].

Samir Kassem et al published results on 20 patients with internally fixed fractures with delayed union or nonunion with a mean of 9.65 months between initial surgery and marrow injection [43]. Of the 20 fractures, 19 achieved clinical and radiological union, on average after 2.95 months. Padha et al studied 50 cases of post-traumatic delayed and non-unions and noted that 46 of 50 cases (92%) had successful union, whereas 4 had failures, with percutaneous bone marrow injection [44].

Braly et al reported the outcomes of percutaneous autologous bone marrow injection for nonunion or delayed union of the distal tibial metaphysis in patients with prior plating [45]. Of the 11 patients, 9 attained bony union within 6 months of bone marrow injection. The authors concluded that percutaneous autologous bone marrow injection is a minimally invasive, safe, and inexpensive treatment option for distal metaphyseal tibial nonunions or delayed unions after internal fixation and should be considered when the retained hardware is intact and stable [45].

Hernigou et al reported their results in 60 noninfected atrophic nonunions of the tibia in which a volume of 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of bone marrow concentrate was injected [46]. They concluded that percutaneous autologous bone-marrow grafting is an effective and safe method for the treatment of an atrophic tibial diaphyseal nonunion. Importantly, they also noted that the efficacy is related to the number of progenitors in the graft, and the number of progenitors available in the iliac crest bone marrow aspirate was less than optimal without concentration [46]. Various aspirations and cell concentration techniques have been used to increase the number of progenitor cells, with reported union rates ranging from 62.5% to 90% [46-50]. Notably, these reports are of case series, lacking control groups to differentiate between the effect of the bone marrow aspirate or bone marrow concentrate and the other concomitant interventions. Importantly, how a bone marrow aspirate injection into a long-standing nonunion results in bone union without debridement of the intervening fibrous tissue remains unknown.

#### Discussion

Mesenchymal stem cells are being used for their therapeutic potential to enhance the regeneration of cartilage and bone to prevent or modify disease progression and bone marrow concentrate, with its mesenchymal stem cell and hematopoetic stem cell populations, along with abundant growth factors, exhibits anti-inflammatory, immunosuppressive, osteoinductive, and chondrogenic qualities. The exact mechanisms of action of bone marrow concentrate remain unknown, however, it is postulated that the cells either induce proliferation and differentiation of resident stem cells, and/or possess innate differentiation potential. Along with mesenchymal stem cells, hematopoetic stem cells, and progenitor cells, exosomes are also considered to have a possible role in facilitating regeneration, particularly in secreting proteins that may direct repair [51]. An exosome is a nanoparticle or secreted granule found in blood, saliva, and stem cell cultures, which has a function in cell-to-cell communication, paracrine signaling, autocrine signaling [51]. Future research may focus on the transfer of genetic information, which is now thought to occur with exosomes. Watson reported that the key to understanding how cell or platelet-based therapy works may not be the cell itself but understanding how the secreted exosomes work, suggesting an influence on exosome production, content, secretion, and selection of specific target cells [51]. Further studies are needed to better understand the role of bone marrow concentrate therapy in reducing pain and increasing function in patients with musculoskeletal disease. Future exploration through dosage-based trials, bioactive adjuncts, and protein scaffolding may reveal the optimal method for application of regenerative medicine. Clinically, treatment of patients with bone marrow concentrate is readily performed by visualized guided needle injection for musculoskeletal disease therapy. Further studies are needed to determine the exact mechanisms of action among the cells, growth factors, and exosomes, as well as the long-term durability of the tissue treated.

Although sufficient human safety and efficacy data are increasing, there are sparse data for the therapeutic effectiveness of same-day bone marrow concentrate therapy in patients with musculoskeletal disorders. There is no standardized technique for bone marrow concentrate or injection protocol at this time. Many significant questions remain unanswered, such as the ideal cell harvest technique, cell preparation, as well as optimal windows for various indications and injection protocols. These early regenerative medicine therapies will likely serve as precursors for more customized, refined cellular therapies using serum and genetic biomarkers to better understand the mechanism of action and to identify optimal methods of treatment. The integration of mesenchymal stem cell therapy into routine clinical use is intriguing but must be preceded by the effective demonstration of longterm safety and efficacy through publication of rigorous research studies.

#### References

- 1. Kraus VB, Feng S, Wang S, et al. Subchondral bone trabecular integrity predicts and changes concurrently with radiographic and magnetic resonance imaging-determined knee osteoarthritis progression. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:1812-1821.
- **2.** Gupta PK, Das AK, Chullikana A, Majumdar AS. Mesenchymal stem cells for cartilage repair in osteoarthritis. Stem Cell Res Ther 2012; 3:25.
- 3. Frazer A, Bunning R, Thavarajah M, et al. Studies on type II collagen and aggrecan production in human articular chondrocytes in vitro and effects of transforming growth factor- $\beta$  and interleukin-1  $\beta$ . Osteoarthritis Cartilage 1994;2:235-245.
- **4.** Goldring MB. The role of the chondrocyte in osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2000;43:1916-1926.
- Centeno CJ, Schultz JR, Cheever M, et al. Safety and complications reporting update on the re-implantation of culture-expanded mesenchymal stem cells using autologous platelet lysate technique. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 2011;6:368-378.
- Steinert AF, Rackwitz L, Gilbert F, Noth U, Tuan RS. Concise review: The clinical application of mesenchymal stem cells for musculoskeletal regeneration: Current status and perspectives. Stem Cells Transl Med 2012;1:237-247.
- 7. Chamberlain G, Fox J, Ashton B, Middleton J. Concise review: Mesenchymal stem cells: Their phenotype, differentiation capacity, immunological features, and potential for homing. Stem Cells 2007;25:2739-2749.
- Mackay AM, Beck SC, Murphy JM, Barry FP, Chichester CO, Pittenger MF. Chondrogenic differentiation of cultured human mesenchymal stem cells from marrow. Tissue Eng 1998;4:415-428.
- Caplan A. MSCs: The New Medicine. Regenerative Medicine. Presented at the Annual Meeting of The Orthobiologic Institute (TOBI). June 2014, Los Angeles, CA.
- Lewandowski K, Kowalik MM, Pawlaczyk R, Rogowski J, Hellmann A. Microscopic examination of bone marrow aspirate in healthy adults—comparison of two techniques of slide preparation. Int J Lab Hematol 2012;34:254-261.
- 11. Caplan AI. Review: Mesenchymal stem cells: Cell-based reconstructive therapy in orthopedics. Tissue Eng 2005;11:1198-1211.
- 12. Noth U, Steinert AF, Tuan RS. Technology insight: Adult mesenchymal stem cells for osteoarthritis therapy. Nature Clin Prac Rheumatol 2008;4:371-380.
- 13. Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. J Cell Physiol 2007;213:341-347.
- Hernigou P, Zilber S, Filippini P, Rouard H, Methieu G, Poignard A. Bone marrow injection in hip osteonecrosis. Tech Orthop 2008;23: 18-25.
- Jorgensen C, Noel D. Mesenchymal stem cells in osteoarticular diseases. Regen Med 2011;6(6 Suppl):44-51.

- 16. Dar A, Goichberg P, Shinder V, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR4dependent internalization and resecretion of functional chemokine SDF-1 by bone marrow endothelial and stromal cells. Nat Immunol 2005;6:1038-1046.
- Wynn RF, Hart CA, Corradi-Perini C, et al. A small proportion of mesenchymal stem cells strongly expresses functionally active CXCR4 receptor capable of promoting migration to bone marrow. Blood 2004;104:2643-2645.
- Davatchi F, Abdollahi BS, Mohyeddin M, Shahram F, Nikbin B, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for knee osteoarthritis. Preliminary report of four patients. Int J Rheum Dis 2011;14:211-215.
- Schultz JR. Treatment Registry Data on >1,000 Hip and Knee OA patients with Same Day Stem Cell Isolates from Bone Marrow. Presented at the Annual Meeting of The Orthobiologic Institute. June 2014, Los Angeles, CA, unpublished.
- 20. Emadedin M, Aghdami N, Taghiyar L, et al. Intra-articular injection of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in six patients with knee osteoarthritis. Arch Iran Med 2012;15:422-428.
- 21. Sampson S. Early Results with Bone Marrow Concentrate & Emerging Trends in Biologics. Presented at the Annual Meeting of The Orthobiologic Institute, June 2014, Los Angeles, CA, unpublished.
- 22. Sampson S, Botto-van Bemden A, Aufiero D. Autologous bone marrow concentrate: Review and application of a novel intraarticular orthobiologic for cartilage disease. Phys Sport 2013;41: 7-18.
- 23. Li W, Sakai T, Nishii T, et al. Distribution of TRAP-positive cells and expression of HIF-1alpha, VEGF, and FGF-2 in the reparative reaction in patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. J Orthop Res 2009;27:694-700.
- 24. Cardozo JB, Andrade DM, Santiago MB. The use of bisphosphonate in the treatment of avascular necrosis: A systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 2008;27:685-688.
- **25.** Hernigou P, Poignard A, Manicom O, et al. The use of percutaneous autologous bone marrow transplantation in nonunion and avascular necrosis of bone. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2005;87:896.
- 26. Lieberman JR, Engstrom SM, Meneghini RM, SooHoo NF. Which factors influence preservation of the osteonecrotic femoral head? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2012;470:525-534.
- 27. Alves EM, Angrisani AT, Santiago MB. The use of extracorporeal shock waves in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head: A systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 2009;28:1247-1251.
- Yan Z, Hang D, Guo C, Chen Z. Fate of mesenchymal stem cells transplanted to osteonecrosis of femoral head. J Orthop Res 2009; 27:442-446.
- 29. Wen Q, Ma L, Chen YP, Yang L, Luo W, Wang XN. Treatment of avascular necrosis of the femoral head by hepatocyte growth factor-transgenic bone marrow stromal stem cells. Gene Ther 2008;15:1523-1535.
- Annaloro C, Onida F, Lambertenghi Deliliers G. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in autoimmune diseases. Expert Rev Hematol 2009;2:699-715.
- **31.** Rosato E, Pisarri S, Salsano F. Current strategies for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2010;24: 251-259.
- **32.** Karussis D, Karageorgiou C, Vaknin-Dembinsky A, et al. Safety and immunological effects of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Arch Neurol 2010;67:1187-1194.
- 33. Szodoray P, Varoczy L, Szegedi G, Zeher M. Autologous stem cell transplantation in autoimmune and rheumatic diseases: From the molecular background to clinical applications. Scand J Rheumatol 2010;39:1-11.

- 34. Mazo M, Planat-Bénard V, Abizanda G, et al. Transplantation of adipose derived stromal cells is associated with functional improvement in a rat model of chronic myocardial infarction. Eur J Heart Fail 2008;10:454-462.
- **35.** Mazo M, Gavira JJ, Abizanda G, et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells exerts a greater long-term effect than bone marrow mononuclear cells in a chronic myocardial infarction model in rat. Cell Transplant 2010;19:313-328.
- Mark AL, Sun Z, Warren DS, et al. Stem cell mobilization is life saving in an animal model of acute liver failure. Ann Surg 2010; 252:591-596.
- **37.** Walker PA, Shah SK, Jimenez F, et al. Intravenous multipotent adult progenitor cell therapy for traumatic brain injury: Preserving the blood brain barrier via an interaction with splenocytes. Exp Neurol 2010;225:341-352.
- **38.** Walczak P, Zhang J, Gilad AA, et al. Dual modality monitoring of targeted intraarterial delivery of mesenchymal stem cells after transient ischemia. Stroke 2008;39:1569-1574.
- **39.** Hendrich C, Franz F, Waertel G, et al. Safety of autologous bone marrow aspiration concentrate transplantation: Initial experiences in 101 patients. Orthop Rev 2009;1:e32.
- **40.** Yoshioka T, Mishima H, Akaogi H, et al. Concentrated autologous bone marrow aspirate transplantation treatment for corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus. Int Orthop 2011;35:823-829.
- Kumar Sen R, Kumar Tripathy S, Aggarwal S, et al. Results of core decompression and autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells instillation in femoral head osteonecrosis. J Arthroplasty 2012;27: 679-686.
- 42. Wang BL, Sun W, Shi ZC, et al. Treatment of nontraumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head with the implantation of core decompression and concentrated autologous bone marrow containing mononuclear cells. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2010;130: 859-865.
- **43.** Samir Kassem M. Percutaneous autogenous bone marrow injection for delayed union or non union of fractures after internal fixation. Acta Orthop Belg 2013;79:711-717.
- Padha V, Mahajan N, Kalsotra N. Role of percutaneous bone marrow injection in delayed union and non union. Internet J Orthoped Surgery 2011:18.
- Braly HL, O'Connor DP, Brinker MR. Percutaneous autologous bone marrow injection in the treatment of distal meta-diaphyseal tibial nonunions and delayed unions. J Orthop Trauma 2013;27:527-534.
- 46. Hernigou P, Poignard A, Beaujean F, et al. Percutaneous autologous bone-marrow grafting for nonunions. Influence of the number and concentration of progenitor cells. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87:1430-1437.
- **47.** Connolly JF, Guse R, Tiedeman J, et al. Autologous marrow injection as a substitute for operative grafting of tibial nonunions. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1991;266:259-270.
- Garg NK, Gaur S, Sharma S. Percutaneous autogenous bone marrow grafting in 20 cases of ununited fracture. Acta Orthop Scand 1993; 64:671-672.
- **49.** Goel A, Sangwan SS, Siwach RC, et al. Percutaneous bone marrow grafting for the treatment of tibial non-union. Injury 2005;36:203-206.
- 50. Healey JH, Zimmerman PA, McDonnell JM, et al. Percutaneous bone marrow grafting of delayed union and nonunion in cancer patients. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1990;256:280-285.
- Watson J. Autologous stem cell research and clinical use: Evolving concepts of how cells communicate. Presented at The Orthobiologic Institute (TOBI ) Symposium, 2013, Los Angeles, CA.

#### Disclosure

**S.S.** The Orthohealing Center and The Orthobiologic Institute (TOBI), David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California Los Angeles, CA; 10780 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 440, Los Angeles, CA 90025 Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona CA; Touro University California and Touro University New York, NY. Address correspondence to: S.S.; e-mail: drsampson@ orthohealing.com

Disclosures outside this publication: consultancy, Heel Inc. (money to institution); grants/grants pending, MiMedx Group, Inc; payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus, Guna Inc., Bauerfeind (money to institution)

**A.B.-v.B.** Musculoskeletal Research International (MRI) and Clinical Research Experts (CRE), Florida International University, Miami, FL Disclosure: nothing to disclose

**D.A.** Orthohealing Center, Los Angeles, CA; David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA; Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona CA; Touro University California, Vallejo, CA

Disclosures outside this publication: grants/grants pending, MiMedxGroup, Inc. (money to institution)

Submitted for publication September 16, 2014; accepted January 23, 2015.